First, I think Rashomon sets exist. In chess algorithms, for example, there are all sorts of ways to get computers to play chess like masters, to move in the direction of the highest odds of winning. Algorithms such as Alpha Go have emerged in recent years with deep neural networks. They are very strong, but they are black box models. But other traditional algorithms, such as alpha beta pruning or Monte Carlo tree search, can also, in theory, achieve very high odds. I believe there must be some kind of model that can be explained.

But I don't think it's realistic to look for an interpretable model based on Rashomon set. We don't even know what models the Rashomon set contains, let alone look for them. The Rashomon set we can imagine is based on our understanding of the various ML models. I can imagine, for example, a Rashomon set with interpretable models such as decision trees and Bayesian classifiers, as well as black-box models such as deep neural networks. These are the only types of models from which I can find solutions. But for models that humans do not know but are contained within Rashomon sets, we cannot find and use them at all.